

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/785,316	02/24/2004	David Berghash	20705.0 (Berghash)	9662
1342	7590 05/16/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILLIPS LYTLE LLP			GRAHAM, MARK S	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 3400 HSBC CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BUFFALO, NY 14203-3509			3711	

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/785,316	BERGHASH, DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark S. Graham	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ag	oril 2005.					
<u> </u>	· <u> </u>					
·=	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11,12,23 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	· ·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ad.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/785,316

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant's election without traverse of the hockey stick in the reply filed on 4/29/05 is acknowledged.

Claims 11, 12, 23, and 24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/29/05.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 and 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian in view of Tucker, Sr. (Tucker).

Christian discloses the claimed device with the exception of the ribs. However, as disclosed by Tucker it is known in the art to provide such shafts with ribs having a greater coefficient of friction for handling purposes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided such on Christian's stick for the same reason. Regarding the "coextruded" limitations, such are considered product-by-process steps and are thus met by virtue of the claimed article itself being obviated.

Concerning claims 3 and 15, Christian discloses that the shaft may be made of plastic generally. The plastics claimed by applicant are commonly known and suitable for Christian's purpose and therefore would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan constructing the stick depending on what feel was desired by the player.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,316

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Tucker discloses that the ribs may be made of a soft, pliable deformable material. The materials claimed by applicant are commonly known and suitable for Tucker's purpose and therefore would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan constructing the stick depending on what feel was desired by the player.

Horwood et al., Gibbons, Bassett, and Lin have been cited for interest because they disclose similar devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S.

Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 5/11/05 Mark S. Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711 Page 3